1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	KEVIN R. ALLEN / CA SB# 237994 ALLEN ATTORNEY GROUP 2121 N. California Blvd., Suite 290 Walnut Creek CA 94596 Tel: (925) 695-4913 / Fax: (925) 334-7477 kevin@allenattorneygroup.com AUDREY A. GEE/ CA SB# 180988 DAVID M. MARCHIANO / CA SB# 264809 BROWN, GEE & WENGER LLP 200 Pringle Avenue, Suite 400 Walnut Creek CA 94596 Tel: (925) 943-5000 / Fax: (925) 933-2100 agee@bgwcounsel.com dmarchiano@bgwcounsel.com		
10	Attorneys for Defendants		
11	INTEGRATED TECH GROUP, LLC and ITG COMMUNICATIONS LLC		
12	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT		
14	SAN FRAN		
15			
16	PAUL MONPLAISIR, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	CASE NO. 3:19-cv-01484-WHA	
17	Plaintiff,	OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATION OF MARCUS BRYDIE IN SUPPORT OF	
18	V.	DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR	
19	INTEGRATED TECH GROUP, LLC and ITG COMMUNICATIONS LLC,	CONDITIONAL CERTIFICATION AND TO FACILITATE NOTICE	
20	Defendants.	PURSUANT TO 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)	
21		Date: June 20, 2019 Time: 11:00 a.m.	
22		Courtroom: 12, 19 th Floor	
23	Defendants INTEGRATED TECH GROUP	P, LLC and ITG COMMUNICATIONS LLC	
24	(Collectively, "Defendants"), in support of their Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Conditiona		
25	Certification and to Facilitate Notice Pursuant to 29	9 U.S.C § 216(b), submits the following	
26	objections and responses to the Declaration of Mar	cus Brydie filed on behalf of Plaintiffs on	
27	June 7, 2019. [DKT. 41-10]		
28			
l	1	1	

Case No. 3:19-cv-01484-WHA

OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATION OF MARCUS BRYDIE

Hearsay. [Fed. R. Evid. 801	☐ Sustained
& 802.]	☐ Overruled
Hearsay. [Fed. R. Evid. 801	☐ Sustained
& 802.]	☐ Overruled
	Hearsay. [Fed. R. Evid. 801

Case 3:19-cv-01484-WHA Document 59 Filed 07/01/19 Page 3 of 8

1	inventory of the equipment in my vehicle		
2	and so I could obtain additional equipmen	ıt,	
3	e.g., modems, cable boxes, remotes, cable	;	
4	cords, coax cables, telephone line cubes,		
5	electrical tap, stickers, signs, nails, silicon	e,	
6	Ethernet cable, amplifiers, tie wrap, grour	nd	
7	wire, DVR boxes, grounders (for aerial		
8	drops), splitters, fittings, plastic moldings		
9	and wood putty from ITG. My Supervisor	rs,	
10	Carmen, Junior (Hemberto) and Huen		
11	instructed me not to enter the time spent		
12	during these inventory check-ins on my ti	me	
13	sheets. As a result, I was not paid for thos	e	
14	additional hours of work.		
15	¶10. My Supervisors Carmen, Junior	Lacks personal knowledge,	☐ Sustained
16	(Hemberto) and Huen required me to atter	nd lacks foundation, and calls	☐ Overruled
17 18	mandatory weekly meetings to discuss	for speculation. [Fed. R.	
	installations and ways to increase	Evid. 602.]	
19 20	productivity. These meetings were typical	lly	
21	between forty (40) minutes to one hour ar	nd	
22	a half (1.5 hours) each week. I do not		
23	believe that I was paid for attending these		
24	meetings.		
25	¶13. During the course of the day, ITG	Lacks personal knowledge,	☐ Sustained
26	sometimes added or removed my job	lacks foundation, and calls	☐ Overruled
27	assignments from Tech Net, and later Tec	h for speculation. [Fed. R.	
28	360. Throughout my employment there	Evid. 602.]	
20	I .		

Case 3:19-cv-01484-WHA Document 59 Filed 07/01/19 Page 4 of 8

1	were many times I would click on an		
2	assigned job, accept the job, enter the job		
3	location in the GPS, and drive to the		
4	customer's home. When I arrived at the job		
5	and parked the truck outside the customer's		
6 7	home, I would then sign into the job.		
8	Between two (2) and three (3) times a week,		
9	ITG removed the job from the system after I		
10	had already arrived at the customer's home.		
11	I typically waited an extra thirty (30)		
12	minutes for the job to come back, but it		
13	rarely did, even after I complained to my		
14	Supervisor. I do not believe that I was paid		
15	for the time spent driving to the canceled		
16	job or waiting for it to come back.		
17	¶14. Similarly, jobs were also taken away	Lacks personal knowledge,	☐ Sustained
18	from me when I was in the middle of a job	lacks foundation, and calls	☐ Overruled
19	or had completed the job, but had not yet	for speculation. [Fed. R.	
20	signed into Tech Net or Tech 360 to enter	Evid. 602.]	
21	that I completed the job. I would call my		
22	Supervisor on duty, either Carmen, Junior or		
23	Huen, to complain and this was rarely		
24	resolved. I do not believe that I was paid for		
25	the time spent on these jobs.		
26	¶16. My day usually ended between 7:30	Lacks personal knowledge,	☐ Sustained
27	p.m. and 8:30 p.m. I would drive home after	lacks foundation, and calls	☐ Overruled
28	completing my last job except for once	for speculation. [Fed. R.	
ں∠ں	1		

4

Case 3:19-cv-01484-WHA Document 59 Filed 07/01/19 Page 5 of 8

1 2 3 4 5 6	every other week I would drive to the warehouse after my last job to return equipment and for ITG to check the status of the equipment. This usually took me about two (2) hours due to the long lines. I do not believe I was paid for this time.	Evid. 602.]	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	¶19. Managers and Supervisors did not enforce a 30-minute meal period. Instead, I was directed by my Supervisors, Carmen, Junior and Huen, to work through my meal periods. Any time I took to eat would typically take place while I was driving from one job to another, and even then, I was required to have my phone on me at all times and be available to respond to any work calls.	Hearsay. [Fed. R. Evid. 801 & 802.]	□ Sustained □ Overruled
17 18 19 20 21	¶20. I was considered "on duty" and I generally worked through my meal breaks. I do not believe I was paid appropriately.	Lacks personal knowledge, lacks foundation, and calls for speculation. [Fed. R. Evid. 602.]	☐ Sustained ☐ Overruled
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	¶22. My Supervisor instructed me to continue working until each job was finished. Once I would finish one job, I was instructed to move on to the next job. I was not allowed rest breaks.	Hearsay. [Fed. R. Evid. 801 & 802.]	☐ Sustained ☐ Overruled

- 4

Case 3:19-cv-01484-WHA Document 59 Filed 07/01/19 Page 6 of 8

- 11			
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	¶23. I do not believe that I was paid for	Lacks personal knowledge,	☐ Sustained
$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	working through my rest breaks. I was	lacks foundation, and calls	☐ Overruled
3	considered "on duty" and, although I	for speculation. [Fed. R.	
4 _	worked through my rest breaks. I do not	Evid. 602.]	
5	believe I was paid appropriately.		
5 7	¶24. ITG required me to attend a full day,	Lacks personal knowledge,	☐ Sustained
	mandatory orientation session to familiarize	lacks foundation, and calls	☐ Overruled
} 	myself to ITG's policies and practices. The	for speculation. [Fed. R.	
	orientation took a full day to complete and I	Evid. 602.]	
	do not believe that I was compensated for		
	this time.		
	¶27. ITG would regularly delete codes for	Hearsay. [Fed. R. Evid. 801	☐ Sustained
	tasks I had completed, or change the codes	& 802.]	☐ Overruled
	to a lower paying code. My Supervisors,		
	Carmen, Junior and Huen, would instruct		
	me not to enter a code at all, even though I		
	completed the corresponding task. My		
l	Supervisors, Carmen, Junior and Huen, told		
	me not to enter any codes when assisting		
	other Technicians with jobs.		
	¶28. My finalized time entries were not	Lacks personal knowledge,	☐ Sustained
	accurate and showed a lower number of	lacks foundation, and calls	
l	hours than I actually worked. My time	for speculation. [Fed. R.	
	entries either omitted or underreported the	Evid. 602.]	
	actual amount of time I spent: attending		
	orientation and training, working prior to		
	my shifts, taking any meal break, working		
Н			

(

Case 3:19-cv-01484-WHA Document 59 Filed 07/01/19 Page 7 of 8

	T	
on jobs that took over two hours, and		
driving to and between jobs.		
¶29. I did not receive accurate wage statements. My pay stubs showed several regular and overtime rates, but they did not reflect my specific tasks or jobs. My pay stubs did not include all the hours I worked,	Lacks personal knowledge, lacks foundation, and calls for speculation. [Fed. R. Evid. 602.]	☐ Sustained ☐ Overruled
compensation for missed meal and rest periods, or all of the jobs or tasks I completed.		
¶34. I believe that ITG made other	Lacks personal knowledge,	☐ Sustained
deductions from my pay. For example, if a customer called back with the same service issue, or something was not working properly, I believe replacement parts were deducted. I also believe that I was charged for equipment I never lost, and damage to a customer's home that never occurred. I could see the deductions on Penguin and would complain to my Supervisors, Carmen, Junior and Huen; but these issues would not get resolved. Once ITG transitioned to Fuse, I was not able to see any deductions. I believe that ITG continued	lacks foundation, and calls for speculation. [Fed. R. Evid. 602.]	□ Overruled
to make these deductions.		

Case 3:19-cv-01484-WHA Document 59 Filed 07/01/19 Page 8 of 8

1	Respectfully submitted,
2	Dated: July 1, 2019 BROWN, GEE & WENGER LLP
3	
4	/s/ David M. Marchiano
5	AUDREY A. GEE DAVID M. MARCHIANO
6	Attorneys for Defendants INTEGRATED TECH GROUP, LLC and
7	ITG COMMUNICATIONS LLC
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26 27	
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$	
20	\